

# ARCHITECTURAL-LEVEL SYNTHESIS

© Giovanni De Micheli

Stanford University

## Outline

© GDM

- Motivation.
- Compiling language models into abstract models.
- Behavioral-level optimization and program-level transformations.
- Architectural synthesis: an overview.


## Synthesis

© GDM

- Transform behavioral into structural view.
- *Architectural-level synthesis*:
  - Architectural abstraction level.
  - Determine *macroscopic* structure.
  - Example: major building blocks.
- *Logic-level synthesis*:
  - Logic abstraction level.
  - Determine *microscopic* structure.
  - Example: logic gate interconnection.

## Synthesis and optimization

© GDM




## Example

© GDM

```
diffeq {
  read (x, y, u, dx, a);
  repeat {
    xl = x + dx;
    ul = u - (3 · x · u · dx) - (3 · y · dx);
    yl = y + u · dx;
    c = x < a;
    x = xl; u = ul; y = yl;
  }
  until ( c );
write (y);
}
```


## Example of structures

© GDM



## Example

© GDM



## Architectural-level synthesis motivation

© GDM

- Raise input abstraction level.
  - Reduce specification of details.
  - Extend designer base.
  - Self-documenting design specifications.
  - Ease modifications and extensions.
- Reduce design time.
- Explore and optimize macroscopic structure:
  - Series/parallel execution of operations.

## Architectural-level synthesis

© GDM

- Translate HDL models into sequencing graphs.
- Behavioral-level optimization:
  - Optimize abstract models independently from the implementation parameters.
- Architectural synthesis and optimization:
  - Create macroscopic structure:
    - \* *data-path* and *control-unit*.
  - Consider area and delay information of the implementation.


## Compilation and behavioral optimization

© GDM

- Software compilation:
  - Compile program into intermediate form.
  - Optimize intermediate form.
  - Generate target code for an architecture.
- Hardware compilation:
  - Compile HDL model into sequencing graph.
  - Optimize sequencing graph.
  - Generate gate-level interconnection for a cell library.

## Hardware and software compilation.

© GDM



## Compilation


© GDM

- Front-end:
  - Lexical and syntax analysis.
  - Parse-tree generation.
  - Macro-expansion.
  - Expansion of meta-variables.
- Semantic analysis:
  - Data-flow and control-flow analysis.
  - Type checking.
  - Resolve arithmetic and relational operators.

## Parse tree example

$a = p + q * r$

© GDM



## Behavioral-level optimization

© GDM

- Semantic-preserving transformations aiming at simplifying the model.
- Applied to parse-trees or during their generation.
- Taxonomy:
  - *Data-flow* based transformations.
  - *Control-flow* based transformations.

## Data-flow based transformations

© GDM

- Tree-height reduction.
- Constant and variable propagation.
- Common subexpression elimination.
- Dead-code elimination.
- Operator-strength reduction.
- Code motion.


## Tree-height reduction

© GDM

- Applied to arithmetic expressions.
- Goal:
  - Split into two-operand expressions to exploit hardware parallelism at best.
- Techniques:
  - Balance the expression tree.
  - Exploit *commutativity*, *associativity* and *distributivity*.

### Example of tree-height reduction using commutativity and associativity


© GDM



- $x = a + b * c + d \Rightarrow x = (a + d) + b * c$

### Example of tree-height reduction using distributivity

© GDM



- $x = a * (b * c * d + e) \Rightarrow x = a * b * c * d + a * e;$

### Examples of propagation

© GDM

- Constant propagation:
  - $a = 0; b = a + 1; c = 2 * b;$
  - $a = 0; b = 1; c = 2;$
- Variable propagation:
  - $a = x; b = a + 1; c = 2 * a;$
  - $a = x; b = x + 1; c = 2 * x;$

### Sub-expression elimination

© GDM

- Logic expressions:
  - Performed by logic optimization.
  - Kernel-based methods.
- Arithmetic expressions:
  - Search isomorphic patterns in the parse trees.
  - Example:
    - \*  $a = x + y; b = a + 1; c = x + y;$
    - \*  $a = x + y; b = a + 1; c = a;$

## Examples of other transformations

© GDM

- Dead-code elimination:
  - $a = x; b = x + 1; c = 2 * x;$
  - $a = x;$  can be removed if not referenced.
- Operator-strength reduction:
  - $a = x^2; b = 3 * x;$
  - $a = x * x; t = x << 1; b = x + t;$
- Code motion:
  - **for** ( $i = 1; i \leq a * b$ ) { }
  - $t = a * b; \quad$  **for** ( $i = 1; i \leq t$ ) { }

## Control-flow based transformations

© GDM

- Model expansion.
- Conditional expansion.
- Loop expansion.
- Block-level transformations.

## Model expansion

© GDM

- Expand subroutine – flatten hierarchy.
- Useful to expand scope of other optimization techniques.
- Problematic when routine is called more than once.
- Example:
  - $x = a + b; y = a * b; z = \text{foo}(x, y);$
  - $\text{foo}(p, q) \{ t = q - p; \text{return}(t); \}$
  - By expanding  $\text{foo}$ :
  - $x = a + b; y = a * b; z = y - x;$

## Conditional expansion

© GDM

- Transform conditional into parallel execution with test at the end.
- Useful when test depends on late signals.
- May preclude hardware sharing.
- Always useful for logic expressions.
- Example:
  - $y = ab; \quad \text{if } (a) \quad \{ x = b + d; \} \quad \text{else}$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \{ x = bd; \}$
  - can be expanded to:  $x = a(b + d) + a'bd$
  - and simplified as:  $y = ab; x = y + d(a + b)$

## Loop expansion

© GDM

- Applicable to loops with data-independent exit conditions.
- Useful to expand scope of other optimization techniques.
- Problematic when loop has many iterations.
- Example:
  - $x = 0;$   
  **for** ( $i = 1; i \leq 3; i ++$ ) { $x = x + 1;$  }
- Expanded to:
  - $x = 0; x = x + 1; x = x + 2; x = x + 3$

## Architectural synthesis and optimization

© GDM

- Synthesize macroscopic structure in terms of building-blocks.
- Explore area/performance trade-off:
  - *maximum performance* implementations subject to *area* constraints.
  - *minimum area* implementations subject to *performance* constraints.
- Determine an optimal implementation.
- Create logic model for data-path and control.


## Design space and objectives

© GDM

- Design space:
  - Set of all feasible implementations.
- Implementation parameters:
  - Area.
  - Performance:
    - \* Cycle-time.
    - \* Latency.
    - \* Throughput (for pipelined implementations)
  - Power consumption

## Design evaluation space

© GDM



## Hardware modeling

© GDM

- Circuit behavior:
  - Sequencing graphs.
- Building blocks:
  - Resources.
- Constraints:
  - Timing and resource usage.

## Resources

© GDM

- Functional resources:
  - Perform operations on data.
  - Example: arithmetic and logic blocks.
- Memory resources:
  - Store data.
  - Example: memory and registers.
- Interface resources:
  - Example: busses and ports.

## Functional resources

© GDM

- Standard resources:
  - Existing macro-cells.
  - Well characterized (area/delay).
  - Example: adders, multipliers, ...
- Application-specific resources:
  - Circuits for specific tasks.
  - Yet to be synthesized.
  - Example: instruction decoder.

## Resources and circuit families

© GDM

- *Resource-dominated* circuits.
  - Area and performance depend on few, well-characterized blocks.
  - Example: DSP circuits.
- *Non resource-dominated* circuits.
  - Area and performance are strongly influenced by sparse logic, control and wiring.
  - Example: some ASIC circuits.



## Implementation constraints

© GDM

- Timing constraints:
  - Cycle-time.
  - Latency of a set of operations.
  - Time spacing between operation pairs.
- Resource constraints:
  - Resource usage (or allocation).
  - Partial binding.


## Synthesis in the temporal domain

© GDM

- *Scheduling*:
  - Associate a *start-time* with each operation.
  - Determine latency and parallelism of the implementation.
- *Scheduled sequencing graph*:
  - Sequencing graph with start-time annotation.

## Example

© GDM




## Synthesis in the spatial domain

© GDM

- *Binding*:
  - Associate a resource with each operation with the same type.
  - Determine area of the implementation.
- *Sharing*:
  - Bind a resource to more than one operation.
  - Operations must not execute concurrently.
- *Bound sequencing graph*:
  - Sequencing graph with resource annotation.

## Example

© GDM




## Binding specification

© GDM

- Mapping from the vertex set to the set of resource instances, for each given type.
- Partial binding:
  - Partial mapping, given as design constraint.
- Compatible binding:
  - Binding satisfying the constraints of the partial binding.

## Example

© GDM



## Estimation

© GDM

- Resource-dominated circuits.
  - Area = sum of the area of the resources bound to the operations.
  - \* Determined by *binding*.
  - Latency = start time of the sink operation (minus start time of the source operation).
  - \* Determined by *scheduling*
- Non resource-dominated circuits.
  - Area also affected by:
    - \* registers, steering logic, wiring and control.
  - Cycle-time also affected by:
    - \* steering logic, wiring and (possibly) control.

## Approaches to architectural optimization

© GDM

- *Multiple-criteria* optimization problem:
  - area, latency, cycle-time.
- Determine *Pareto optimal* points:
  - Implementations such that no other has all parameters with inferior values.
- Draw trade-off curves:
  - discontinuous and highly nonlinear.


## Approaches to architectural optimization

© GDM

- Area/latency trade-off,
  - for some values of the cycle-time.
- Cycle-time/latency trade-off,
  - for some binding (area).
- Area/cycle-time trade-off,
  - for some schedules (latency).

## Area/latency trade-off

© GDM



## Area-latency trade-off

© GDM

- Rationale:
  - Cycle-time dictated by system constraints.
- Resource-dominated circuits:
  - Area is determined by resource usage.
- Approaches:
  - *Schedule* for minimum latency under resource constraints
  - *Schedule* for minimum resource usage under latency constraints
  - \* for varying constraints.

## Summary

© GDM

- Behavioral optimization:
  - Create abstract models from HDL models.
  - Optimize models without considering implementation parameters.
- Architectural synthesis and optimization.
  - Consider resource parameters.
  - Multiple-criteria optimization problem:
    - \* area, latency, cycle-time.